



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/864,762	08/864,762 05/29/1997		TOKIMORI TOMITA	122.1046-C	7403
21171	7590	12/04/2001			
STAAS &			EXAMINER		
700 11TH S SUITE 500	•		YOUNG, JOHN L		
WASHING	TON, DC	20001		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2162	
				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.







Application No. 08/864,762 Applicant(s)

Tomita

Office Actio	n Summary
--------------	-----------

Examiner

John Young

Art Unit 2162



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation.	§ 133).
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 13, 2	2001	<u>.</u> .
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	ction is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) X Claim(s) 17, 18, 24-26, 28-30, 32-35, 37, 50, 52	2, 53, 65, and 66 is/are pending in the application	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consider	ration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢 Claim(s) 17, 18, 24-26, 28-30, 32-35, 37, 50, 52	2, 53, 65, and 66 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election require	ement.
Application Papers		
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.	
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13)□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a)□ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 		
14) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
17) 💢 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ? 8 & 35	20) Other:	

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

FINAL REJECTION (NEW ARGUMENTS/GROUNDS OF REJECTION NECESSITATED BY AMENDMENT)

- 1. Claims 65-66 are added by Amendment H, paper# 37 filed 4/13/2001.
- 2. Claims 17, 18, 24-26, 28-30, 32-35, 37, 50, 52, 53 & 65-66 are pending.

CLAIM REJECTIONS — 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2162

3. Independent claims 17, 18, 28, 50 & 52 and dependent claims 29 & 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz et al. 5,056,019 (10/8/1991) (herein referred to as "Schultz") in view of Burton et al. 5,025,372 (6/18/1991) (herein referred to as "Burton") and further in view of Small 4,815,741 (03/28/1989) (herein referred to as "Small").

As per claim 17 Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 40-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; col. 10, ll. 20-27; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point notification means for notifying the customer of point information comprising the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data. . . . "

Schultz (col. 10, Il. 7-15; col. 10, Il. 20-27; col. 10, Il. 36-39; col. 10, Il. 46-50; col. 9, Il. 25-33; col. 8, Il. 48-65; col. 7, Il. 35-44; col. 8, Il. 1-6; and col. 5, Il. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points of a current transaction and issued point of a previous transaction. . . ."

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 28-36; col. 6, ll. 39-52; col. 7, ll. 5-10; and col. 10, ll. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

Schultz (col. 8, 11. 30-63) discloses "customer service support..." and elements that suggest "types of services..."

Schultz (col. 5, ll. 57-60) discloses: "The purchase reward offers . . . can include standard rebates, trial rebates, gift offers, and sweepstakes offers."

Schultz (col. 5, 11. 57-60) discloses: "Once the purchase reward offers... are defined by the manufacturer... the central management firm... stores records of the purchase reward offers... in an offer file... residing in the data bank of the PMCS [program management computer system] The products that are the subject of the purchase reward offers... have associated Universal Product Codes (UPC), which are used to access the reward offer records in the offer file... In the instance when a reward offer applies to several products, the UPC of each eligible product for the reward offer will access to the reward offer record to which the products apply...." The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "wherein the point notification means includes service contents storage means for storing a list of types of services and corresponding points...."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 5-12; and col. 7, ll. 60-68) discloses "the manufacturer can offer an entry into a sweepstakes drawing for each product purchase. . . ." The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "wherein the point notification means includes

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Serial Number: 08/864,762

service contents storage means for storing a list of types of services and corresponding points. . . . "

Schultz (col. 9, Il. 30-38; col. 9, Il. 47- 59; col. 10, Il. 7-35; col. 7, Il. 5-10; col. 7, Il. 20-59; col. 9, Il. 1-2; and col. 9, 60-65) shows elements that suggest "notification means . . . reads data out of the storage means according to a type selected by the customer through a terminal, and notifies the customer of the read data."

Schultz does not explicitly show "types of services. . . . "

Burton (col. 1, ll. 10-20) discloses: "The invention relates to the field of computer programming and data processing systems for incentive award programs [sic] Heretofore incentive companies have contracted with a sponsoring company for providing an incentive program to promote the sales of the sponsoring company's products or services. . . . The products or services promoted might have been of a specific nature. . . ."

Burton (col. 1, 11. 17-20) discloses: "Usually a certain number of points are awarded... [related to the] quantity of products or services."

Burton proposes "services" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "services" modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participant's memory of the . . [services] stimulates recollection of the sponsor, thus reinforcing favorable thoughts toward the sponsor."

(See Burton (col. 3, Il. 67-68; and col. 4, Il. 1-2)).

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz lacks an explicit recital of: "reads data out of the storage means according to a type selected by the customer through a terminal, and notifies the customer of the read data through the terminal."

Small (FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 38-44; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; col. 11, ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "reads data out of the storage means according to a type selected by the customer through a terminal, and notifies the customer of the read data through the terminal."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification" modifications of Small with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize. . . . " (See Small (col. 2, II. 30-40)).

As per claim 18, Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 40-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; col. 10, ll. 20-27; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point notification means for notifying the customer of point information comprising the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data. . . . "

Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 28-36; col. 6, ll. 39-52; col. 7, ll. 5-10; and col. 10, ll. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

Schultz (col. 8, 11. 30-63) discloses "customer service support..." and elements that suggest "types of services..."

Schultz (col. 5, ll. 57-60) discloses: "The purchase reward offers . . . can include standard rebates, trial rebates, gift offers, and sweepstakes offers."

Schultz (col. 5, ll. 57-60) discloses: "Once the purchase reward offers . . . are defined by the manufacturer . . . the central management firm . . . stores records of the

Art Unit: 2162

purchase reward offers . . . in an offer file . . . residing in the data bank of the PMCS

[program management computer system] The products that are the subject of the

purchase reward offers . . . have associated Universal Product Codes (UPC), which are

used to access the reward offer records in the offer file. . . . In the instance when a

reward offer applies to several products, the UPC of each eligible product for the reward

offer will access to the reward offer record to which the products apply. . . . " The

examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "wherein the point notification means

includes service contents storage means for storing a list of services and corresponding

points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 5-12; and col. 7, ll. 60-68) discloses "the manufacturer can offer an entry into a sweepstakes drawing for each product purchase. . . ." The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "wherein the point notification means includes service contents storage means for storing a list of services and corresponding points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 9, ll. 30-38; col. 9, ll. 47- 59; col. 10, ll. 7-35; col. 7, ll. 5-10; col. 7, ll. 20-59; col. 9, ll. 1-2; and col. 9, 60-65) shows elements that suggest "notification means . . . selects a range of services available for the cumulative points of the customer, and notifies the customer of the selected services."

Schultz does not explicitly recite "a list of services. . . ." even though Schultz suggests same.

Burton (col. 1, ll. 10-20) discloses: "The invention relates to the field of computer programming and data processing systems for incentive award programs [sic]

Art Unit: 2162

Heretofore incentive companies have contracted with a sponsoring company for providing an incentive program to promote the sales of the sponsoring company's products or services. . . . The products or services promoted might have been of a specific nature. . . . "

Burton (col. 1, ll. 17-20) discloses: "Usually a certain number of points are awarded . . . [related to the] quantity of products or services."

Burton proposes "services" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "services" modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participant's memory of the . . . [services] stimulates recollection of the sponsor, thus reinforcing favorable thoughts toward the sponsor."

(See Burton (col. 3, ll. 67-68; and col. 4, ll. 1-2)).

Schultz lacks an explicit recital of: "notifies the customer of the selected services through the terminal."

Small (FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 38-44; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; col. 11, ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "notifies the customer of the selected services through the terminal."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification"

Art Unit: 2162

modifications of <u>Small</u> with the teachings of <u>Schultz</u> because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize. . . ." (See <u>Small</u> (col. 2, ll. 30-40)).

As per claim 28, Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer..."

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data. . . . "

Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 28-36; col. 6, ll. 39-52; col. 7, ll. 5-10; and col. 10, ll. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz lacks explicit mention of "a software service in accordance with an amount of the accumulated points, and the point accumulation means decreases the customer's accumulated points according to a period of time of providing the software service."

Burton (col. 19, ll. 9-68; col. 20, ll. 1-68; col. 21, ll. 46-68; col. 22, ll. 38-68; col. 23, ll. 4-68) shows elements that suggest "wherein the point management system provides a software service in accordance with an amount of the accumulated points. . . ."

Burton (FIG. 2.8A; FIG. 2.8B; col. 9, ll. 50-68; col. 10, ll. 1-7; col. 10, ll. 44-51; col. 28, ll. 16-35; and col. 28, ll. 60-68) shows elements that suggest "wherein the point management system provides a software service in accordance with an amount of the accumulated points, and the point accumulation means decreases the customer's accumulated points according to a period of time of providing the software service."

Burton proposes software program and credit decreasing modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the credit decreasing modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participants can choose to withhold none, part, or all of the monetary amount eligible for allocation toward the credit..." (See Burton (col. 4, ll.16-19)).

Schultz lacks an explicit recitation of "the customer accesses the accumulated points stored on the computer through a terminal."

Small (FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 38-44; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; col. 11,

Art Unit: 2162

ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "the customer accesses the accumulated points stored on the computer through a terminal."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification" modifications of Small with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize. . . . " (See Small (col. 2, 1l. 30-40)).

As per claim 29, <u>Schultz</u> in view of <u>Burton</u> shows the point management system of claim 28. (See the rejection of claim 28 <u>supra</u>).

Schultz lacks an explicit recitation of "the software service is provided to the customer with image and voice data through communication circuits . . . displays the decreased points on a display by denoting an alphanumeric number representing the customer's accumulated points and by counting down the customer's accumulated points order. . . ."

Small (col. 9, ll. 38-44; FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; and col. 11, ll. 62-63) shows elements that suggest: "the software service is provided to the customer with image and voice data through communication circuits . . . displays the

Art Unit: 2162

decreased points on a display by denoting an alphanumeric number representing the customer's accumulated points and by counting down the customer's accumulated points order. . . ."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification" modifications of Small with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize. . . ." (See Small (col. 2, 1l. 30-40)).

As per claim 50 <u>Schultz</u> (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 40-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; col. 10, ll. 20-27; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

notification means for notifying the customer of point information comprising the issued points. . . . "

Schultz (col. 7, Il. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data...."

Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 28-36; col. 6, ll. 39-52; col. 7, ll. 5-10; and col. 10, ll. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

Schultz (col. 8, Il. 30-63) discloses "customer service support. . . . " and elements that suggest "types of services. . . . "

Schultz (col. 5, ll. 57-60) discloses: "The purchase reward offers . . . can include standard rebates, trial rebates, gift offers, and sweepstakes offers."

Schultz (col. 5, 11. 57-60) discloses: "Once the purchase reward offers . . . are defined by the manufacturer . . . the central management firm . . . stores records of the purchase reward offers . . . in an offer file . . . residing in the data bank of the PMCS [program management computer system] The products that are the subject of the purchase reward offers . . . have associated Universal Product Codes (UPC), which are used to access the reward offer records in the offer file . . . In the instance when a reward offer applies to several products, the UPC of each eligible product for the reward

Art Unit: 2162

offer will access to the reward offer record to which the products apply. . . . " The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "wherein the point notification means comprises service contents storage means for storing a list of types of services and corresponding points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 5-12; and col. 7, ll. 60-68) discloses "the manufacturer can offer an entry into a sweepstakes drawing for each product purchase. . . ." The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "wherein the point notification means includes service contents storage means for storing a list of types of services and corresponding points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 9, ll. 30-38; col. 9, ll. 47- 59; col. 10, ll. 7-35; col. 7, ll. 5-10; col. 7, ll. 20-59; col. 9, ll. 1-2; and col. 9, ll. 60-65) shows elements that suggest "notification means . . . displays the list of the types of services and corresponding points to the customer."

Schultz does not explicitly show "types of services. . . . "

Burton (col. 1, 11. 10-20) discloses: "The invention relates to the field of computer programming and data processing systems for incentive award programs [sic] Heretofore incentive companies have contracted with a sponsoring company for providing an incentive program to promote the sales of the sponsoring company's products or services. . . . The products or services promoted might have been of a specific nature. . . ."

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Burton (col. 1, ll. 17-20) discloses: "Usually a certain number of points are awarded . . . [related to the] quantity of products or services."

Burton proposes "services" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "services" modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participant's memory of the . . . [services] stimulates recollection of the sponsor, thus reinforcing favorable thoughts toward the sponsor."

(See Burton (col. 3, ll. 67-68; and col. 4, ll. 1-2)).

Schultz lacks an explicit recital of: "displays on a terminal the list of "

Small (FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 38-44; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; col. 11, ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "displays on a terminal the list of . . . points. . . ."

Small proposes "terminal display" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification" modifications of Small with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize. . . ." (See Small (col. 2, 1l. 30-40)).

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 52, Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 40-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; col. 10, ll. 20-27; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point notification means for notifying the customer of point information comprising the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data. . . . "

Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 28-36; col. 6, ll. 39-52; col. 7, ll. 5-10; and col. 10, ll. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz (col. 8, 11. 30-63) discloses "customer service support. . . . " and elements that suggest "types of services. . . . "

Schultz (col. 5, 11. 57-60) discloses: "The purchase reward offers . . . can include standard rebates, trial rebates, gift offers, and sweepstakes offers."

Schultz (col. 5, ll. 57-60) discloses: "Once the purchase reward offers . . . are defined by the manufacturer . . . the central management firm . . . stores records of the purchase reward offers . . . in an offer file . . . residing in the data bank of the PMCS [program management computer system] The products that are the subject of the purchase reward offers . . . have associated Universal Product Codes (UPC), which are used to access the reward offer records in the offer file. . . . In the instance when a reward offer applies to several products, the UPC of each eligible product for the reward offer will access to the reward offer record to which the products apply. . . . " The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "wherein the point notification means includes service contents storage means for selecting a range of services available for the accumulated points of the customer, and notifies the customer of the selected services."

Schultz (col. 9, 11. 30-38; col. 9, 11. 47-59; col. 10, 11. 7-35; col. 7, 11. 5-10; col. 7, 11. 20-59; col. 9, 11. 1-2; and col. 9, 11. 60-65) shows elements that suggest "notification means . . . selects a range of services available for the accumulated points of the customer, and notifies the customer of the selected services."

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz does not explicitly recite "selecting a range of services. . . ." even though

Schultz (col. 9, ll. 30-38; col. 9, ll. 47- 59; col. 10, ll. 7-35; col. 7, ll. 5-10; col. 7,

ll. 20-59; col. 9, ll. 1-2; and col. 9, ll. 60-65) suggests same.

Burton (col. 1, ll. 10-20) discloses: "The invention relates to the field of computer programming and data processing systems for incentive award programs [sic] Heretofore incentive companies have contracted with a sponsoring company for providing an incentive program to promote the sales of the sponsoring company's products or services. . . . The products or services promoted might have been of a specific nature. . . ."

Burton (col. 1, ll. 17-20) discloses: "Usually a certain number of points are awarded . . . [related to the] quantity of products or services."

Burton proposes "services" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "services" modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participant's memory of the . . . [services] stimulates recollection of the sponsor, thus reinforcing favorable thoughts toward the sponsor."

(See Burton (col. 3, ll. 67-68; and col. 4, ll. 1-2)).

 Serial Number: 08/864,762 (Tom

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "notifies the customer . . . through a terminal."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification" modifications of Small with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize..." (See Small (col. 2, Il. 30-40)).

As per claim 53, <u>Schultz</u> in view of <u>Burton</u> and further in view of <u>Small</u> shows the point management system of claim 52. (See the rejection of claim 52 <u>supra</u>).

Schultz does not explicitly recite: "wherein the service contents storage means stores the point information comprising target points for a service requested by the customer, the customer's accumulated points, and shortage points relative to the customer's target."

Burton (col. 9, ll. 50-68) discloses "objective for the incentive program's participants..." The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "target points."

Burton (FIG. 2.8A; FIG. 2.8B; col. 9, ll. 50-68; col. 10, ll. 1-7; col. 10, ll. 44-51; col. 28, ll. 16-35; and col. 28, ll. 60-68) shows elements that suggest "wherein the service contents storage means stores the point information comprising target points for a service

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

requested by the customer, the customer's accumulated points, and shortage points relative to the customer's target."

Burton proposes incentive objective modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the incentive objective modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participants can choose to withhold none, part, or all of the monetary amount eligible for allocation toward the credit..." (See Burton (col. 4, II.16-19)).

4. Independent claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz in view of Humble 4,949,256 (8/14/1990) (herein referred to as "Humble") and further in view of Small.

As per claim 24, Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer. . . ."

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data. . . . "

Schultz (col. 10, II. 7-15; col. 10, II. 20-27; col. 10, II. 36-39; col. 10, II. 46-50; col. 9, II. 25-33; col. 8, II. 48-65; col. 7, II. 35-44; col. 8, II. 1-6; and col. 5, II. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, Il. 28-36; col. 6, Il. 39-52; col. 7, Il. 5-10; and col. 10, Il. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

Schultz lacks explicit mention of "condition monitor means for changing the accumulated points according to predetermined conditions. . . . wherein the condition monitor means adds interest to the customer's points according to the accumulated points and periods of time."

Humble (the FIGURE; col. 3, ll. 67-68; and col. 6, ll. 48-55) shows elements that suggest "condition monitor means for changing the accumulated points according to predetermined conditions. . . . wherein the condition monitor means adds interest to the customer's points according to the accumulated points and periods of time."

Humble proposes "condition monitor" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "condition monitor" modifications of Humble with the teachings of Schultz because such condition monitor means would have provided a "validation network for automatically crediting customer's

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

coupons toward the purchase price of a plurality of products...." (See <u>Humble</u> (col. 2, ll. 66-68)).

Schultz lacks an explicit recitation of "the customer accesses the accumulated points stored on the computer through a terminal."

Small (FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 38-44; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; col. 11, ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "the customer accesses the accumulated points stored on the computer through a terminal."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification" modifications of Small with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize. ..." (See Small (col. 2, 11. 30-40)).

5. Independent claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz in view of Humble and Burton and further in view of Small.

As per claim 25, Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer. . . ."

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data. . . . "

Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 28-36; col. 6, ll. 39-52; col. 7, ll. 5-10; and col. 10, ll. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

Schultz lacks explicit mention of "condition monitor means for changing the accumulated points according to predetermined conditions... wherein the condition monitor means decreases the customer's points if the customer does not carry out a transaction during a predetermined period."

Humble (the FIGURE; col. 3, ll. 67-68; and col. 6, ll. 48-55) shows elements that suggest "condition monitor means for changing the accumulated points according to predetermined conditions. . . ."

Art Unit: 2162

Humble proposes "condition monitor" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "condition monitor" modifications of Humble with the teachings of Schultz because such condition monitor means would have provided a "validation network for automatically crediting customer's coupons toward the purchase price of a plurality of products. . . . " (See Humble (col. 2, ll. 66-68)).

Burton (FIG. 2.8A; FIG. 2.8B; col. 9, ll. 50-68; col. 10, ll. 1-7; col. 10, ll. 44-51; col. 28, ll. 16-35; and col. 28, ll. 60-68) shows elements that suggest "wherein the condition monitor means decreases the customer's points if the customer does not carry out a transaction during a predetermined period."

Burton proposes credit decreasing modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the credit decreasing modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participants can choose to withhold none, part, or all of the monetary amount eligible for allocation toward the credit..." (See Burton (col. 4, ll.16-19)).

Schultz lacks an explicit recitation of "the customer accesses the accumulated points stored on the computer through a terminal."

Small (FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 38-44; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; col. 11,

Art Unit: 2162

•

ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "the customer accesses the accumulated points stored on the computer through a terminal."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification" modifications of Small with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize. . . . " (See Small (col. 2, II. 30-40)).

6. Independent claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz and further in view of Small.

As per claim 26, Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer..."

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data. . . . "

Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, Il. 28-36; col. 6, Il. 39-52; col. 7, Il. 5-10; and col. 10, Il. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

Schultz (col. 4, ll. 60-61; and col. 5, ll. 9-10) discloses "participating retail outlets..."

Schultz (col. 5, ll. 53-56) discloses "Each retail outlet . . . may be part of a retail chain, and the method of the present invention can be adapted for use at retail outlets . . . of different retail chains."

Schultz (col. 7, 1l. 53-59) discloses "the marketing program can be provided to consumers . . . through a plurality of retail stores associated with different retail chains. In the preferred embodiment, the consumer . . . is able to make reward product . . . purchases at more than one retail store . . . and have the purchase credits combined in the PMCS. . . . "

The examiner interprets these disclosures as suggesting "wherein the point accumulation means includes means for converting the customer's points managed by a

Art Unit: 2162

group of stores into points managed by another group of stores according to a predetermined rate if the customer requests the conversion."

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Schultz (col. 7, ll. 53-59; col. 5, ll. 45-56; and col. 6, ll. 1-13) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein the point accumulation means includes means for converting the customer's points managed by a group of stores into points managed by another group of stores according to a predetermined rate if the customer requests the conversion. . . ." because such selection would have provided means for the "consumer . . . to make reward product . . . purchases at more than one retail store . . . and have the purchase credits combined" (See Schultz (col. 7, ll. 53-59)).

Schultz lacks an explicit recitation of "the customer accesses the accumulated points stored on the computer through a terminal."

Small (FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 38-44; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; col. 11, ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "the customer accesses the accumulated points stored on the computer through a terminal."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to
the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary
skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal notification"
modifications of Small with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would

Art Unit: 2162

have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize..." (See Small (col. 2, ll. 30-40)).

7. Claims 30, 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz in view of Burton and Small and further in view of Bay 5,347,452 (09/13/1994) [US f/d: 07/12/1991] (herein referred to as "Bay").

As per claim 30, <u>Schultz</u> in view of <u>Burton</u> shows the point management system of claim 28. (See the rejection of claim 28 <u>supra</u>).

Schultz lacks explicit mention of "the point accumulation means displays the decreased points on a display by denoting a colored striped line thereof by reducing the length of the striped line."

Bay (FIG. 1A; and FIG. 1, el. 28) shows elements that suggest: "the point accumulation means displays the decreased points on a display by denoting a colored striped line thereof by reducing the length of the striped line."

Bay proposes bar/line chart modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the bar/line chart modifications of Bay with the teachings of Schultz because such modifications would have provided a "display... of current volume and historical volume..." (See Bay (col. 2, 11. 35-36)).

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

As per claim 32, <u>Schultz</u> in view of <u>Burton</u> shows the point management system of claim 30. (See the rejection of claim 30 <u>supra</u>).

Schultz does not explicitly recite: "wherein the point information includes target points and corresponding electronic services."

Burton (col. 9, 1l. 50-68) discloses "objective for the incentive program's participants..." The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "target points."

Burton (FIG. 2.8A; FIG. 2.8B; col. 9, ll. 50-68; col. 10, ll. 1-7; col. 10, ll. 44-51; col. 28, ll. 16-35; and col. 28, ll. 60-68) shows elements that suggest "wherein the point information includes target points and corresponding electronic services."

Burton proposes incentive objective modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the incentive objective modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participants can choose to withhold none, part, or all of the monetary amount eligible for allocation toward the credit..." (See Burton (col. 4, ll.16-19)).

As per claim 33, Schultz in view of Burton shows the point management system of claim 30. (See the rejection of claim 30 supra).

Schultz does not explicitly recite: "wherein the point information includes services corresponding to the customer's cumulative points provided by the point accumulation means."

Art Unit: 2162

Burton (FIG. 2.8A; FIG. 2.8B; col. 9, ll. 50-68; col. 10, ll. 1-7; col. 10, ll. 44-51; col. 28, ll. 16-35; and col. 28, ll. 60-68) shows elements that suggest "wherein the point information includes services corresponding to the customer's cumulative points provided by the point accumulation means."

Burton proposes cumulative point modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the incentive objective modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participants can choose to withhold none, part, or all of the monetary amount eligible for allocation toward the credit..." (See Burton (col. 4, ll.16-19)).

As per claim 34, <u>Schultz</u> in view of <u>Burton</u> shows the point management system of claim 30. (See the rejection of claim 30 <u>supra</u>).

Schultz does not explicitly recite: "wherein the point information includes the customer's cumulative points, or a shortage of points with respect to target points registered or specified by the customer."

Burton (col. 9, 11. 50-68) discloses "objective for the incentive program's participants..." The examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "target points."

Burton (FIG. 2.8A; FIG. 2.8B; col. 9, 1l. 50-68; col. 10, 1l. 1-7; col. 10, 1l. 44-51; col. 28, 1l. 16-35; and col. 28, 1l. 60-68) shows elements that suggest "wherein the point

Art Unit: 2162

information includes the customer's cumulative points, or a shortage of points with respect to target points registered or specified by the customer."

Burton proposes incentive objective modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the incentive objective modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participants can choose to withhold none, part, or all of the monetary amount eligible for allocation toward the credit. . . ." (See Burton (col. 4, Il.16-19)).

As per claim 35, <u>Schultz</u> in view of <u>Burton</u> shows the point management system of claim 30. (See the rejection of claim 30 <u>supra</u>).

Schultz (col. 3, ll. 32-37) shows elements that suggest "wherein the point information includes the validity of the customer's cumulative points."

Schultz does not explicitly recite: "wherein the point information includes the validity of the customer's cumulative points."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Schultz (col. 3, ll. 32-37) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein the point information includes the validity of the customer's cumulative points. . . ." because such validation would have encouraged "continued purchases of targeted products beyond the one-time incentive of prior coupon systems." (See Schultz (col. 4, ll.45-47)).

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

8. Dependent claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz in view of Burton and Bay and further in view of Rudd 4,292,508 (9/29/1981) (herein referred to as "Rudd").

As per claim 37 <u>Schultz</u> in view of <u>Burton</u> shows the point management system of claim 35. (See the rejection of claim 35 supra).

Schultz does not explicitly recite: "changing points or point calculation rates allocated for commodities. . . ."

<u>Burton</u> (col. 12, ll.27-37) shows elements that suggest: "changing points or point calculation rates allocated for commodities. . . ."

Burton proposes point changing and percentage modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the modifications of Burton with the teachings of Schultz because "the participants can choose to withhold none, part, or all of the monetary amount eligible for allocation toward the credit. . . ."

(See Burton (col. 4, ll.16-19)).

Schultz does not explicitly recite: "changing points or point calculation rates allocated for commodities at random within a predetermined range. . . ."

Rudd (the ABSTRACT; col. 1, ll. 4-11; col. 1, ll. 14-31; col. 1, ll. 63-68; col. 2, ll. 1-4; col. 2, ll. 24-33; and col. 3, ll. 31-38) shows elements that suggest: "changing points

Art Unit: 2162

or point calculation rates allocated for commodities at random within a predetermined range. . . ."

Rudd proposes "changing . . . point calculation rates allocated for commodities at random within a predetermined range. . . ." modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the modifications of Rudd with the teachings of Schultz because such combined modifications would have provided means for "allocating a bonus to customers. . . ." (See Rudd (col. 1, ll.16-24)).

9. Independent claims 65 & 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz.

As per claim 65 Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer..."

(Tomita et al.)

Serial Number: 08/864,762

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data. . . . "

Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points for more than two transactions. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 40-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; col. 10, ll. 20-27; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point notification means for notifying the customer of point information comprising the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 28-36; col. 6, ll. 39-52; col. 7, ll. 5-10; and col. 10, ll. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification information. . . ."

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 53-59; col. 5, ll. 45-56; and col. 6, ll. 1-13) discloses elements that suggest "wherein the point issue means comprises rate management means for changing a point calculation rate according to each of the more than two transactions."

Schultz lacks an explicit recitation of: "wherein the point issue means comprises rate management means for changing a point calculation rate according to each of the more than two transactions."

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Schultz (col. 7, ll. 53-59; col. 5, ll. 45-56; and col. 6, ll. 1-13) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein the point issue means

Art Unit: 2162

comprises rate management means for changing a point calculation rate according to each of the more than two transactions..." because such selection would have provided means for the "consumer... to make reward product... purchases at more than one retail store... and have the purchase credits combined..." (See Schultz (col. 7, 11. 53-59)).

As per claim 66 Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) shows elements that suggest a "point management system employing a computer for managing points issued to each customer who receives service according to the issued points. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 45-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point issue means for issuing the points to the customer according to transactions performed by the customer. . . ."

Schultz (col. 7, 1l. 25-27) discloses "accumulating sales data..."

Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53) shows elements that suggest "point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points for more than two transactions. . . "

Schultz (col. 5, 11. 57-60) discloses: "Once the purchase reward offers . . . are defined by the manufacturer . . . the central management firm . . . stores records of the

Art Unit: 2162

purchase reward offers . . . in an offer file . . . residing in the data bank of the PMCS

[program management computer system] The products that are the subject of the

purchase reward offers . . . have associated Universal Product Codes (UPC), which are

used to access the reward offer records in the offer file. . . . In the instance when a

reward offer applies to several products, the UPC of each eligible product for the reward

offer will access to the reward offer record to which the products apply. . . ." The

examiner interprets this disclosure as suggesting "point storing means for storing point

information comprising the issued points for each customer identification. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 40-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; col. 10, ll. 20-27; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "point notification means for notifying the customer of point information. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, Il. 28-36; col. 6, Il. 39-52; col. 7, Il. 5-10; and col. 10, Il. 1-13) shows elements that suggest "customer identification means for identifying the customer according to customer identification. . . ."

Schultz (col. 6, ll. 6-10; col. 4, ll. 56-60; col. 5, ll. 15-20; col. 8, ll. 40-55; col. 8, ll. 64-65; col. 10, ll. 20-27; and col. 10, ll. 46-50) shows elements that suggest "wherein the point notification means notifies the customer of the point information before the customer carries out transactions. . . ."

Schultz (col. 7, ll. 53-59; col. 5, ll. 45-56; and col. 6, ll. 1-13) discloses elements that suggest "wherein the point issue means comprises rate management means for changing a point calculation rate according to each of the more than two transactions."

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Schultz lacks an explicit recitation of: "wherein the point issue means comprises rate management means for changing a point calculation rate according to each of the more than two transactions."

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the disclosure of Schultz (col. 7, ll. 53-59; col. 5, ll. 45-56; and col. 6, ll. 1-13) would have been selected in accordance with "wherein the point issue means comprises rate management means for changing a point calculation rate according to each of the more than two transactions..." because such selection would have provided means for the "consumer... to make reward product... purchases at more than one retail store... and have the purchase credits combined...." (See Schultz (col. 7, ll. 53-59)).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

10. Applicant's arguments filed 4/13/2001 (Amendment H, paper# 37) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's remarks requesting the Examiner cite a reference corresponding to "Action of Official Notice" please see the rejection of claims 29 and 30 of the instant Office Action (supra) where the references Small and Bay are cited respectively in place of Official Notice.

Art Unit: 2162

In response to applicant's remarks alleging that "Schultz, either alone or in combination with...." other references teaches away from the instant invention, the Examiner proffers that the Schultz reference does not teach away from the instant invention. For example, Schultz teaches customer interaction with an automated marketing reward system (See Schultz (col. 9, ll. 14-17; col. 10, ll. 7-14; and col. 10, ll. 53-56) using a coupon booklet automatically generated via a computer system. The present invention claims customer interaction with an automated marketing reward system via a computer terminal. The automated customer marketing reward system of the present invention is equivalent to and "known in the prior art to be for the same purpose" as the automated customer marketing reward system taught by the Schultz reference (See In re Ruff, 256 F.2d 590, 118 USPQ 340 (CCPA 1958) and MPEP 2144.06); furthermore, automating "a manual activity which accomplished the same result is not sufficient to distinguish over the prior art. . . . In re Venner, 262 F.2d 91, 95, 120 USPQ 193, 194 (CCPA 1958)...." (See MPEP 2144.04(III). Furthermore, the proposed modification by the Small reference neither changes the principle of operation nor renders the Schultz prior art reference unsatisfactory for its intended purpose; therefore, this Office Action in the rejection of the instant invention based on Schultz in view of Small establishes prima facie obviousness (See MPEP 2143.01).

Applicant's attention is directed to <u>Schultz</u> (col. 9, ll. 14-17; col. 10, ll. 7-14; and col. 10, ll. 53-56) discloses: "Through the status report... and the reward

Art Unit: 2162

Serial Number: 08/864,762

certificate ... the marketing program of the present invention completely automates the purchase reward system from the consumer viewpoint.... The in-store computer system ... uses the consumer identification code ... read by the scanner ... to access his customer file ... so that if any reward items ... are purchased, a record of the purchase will be stored in the customer file.... While the preferred embodiments of the invention have been shown and described, several other modifications and improvements to these preferred embodiments will be suggested to those skilled in the art."

In response to Applicant's remarks alleging that "[none] of the foregoing references relied upon, either alone or in combination, discloses or suggests the foregoing features of the present invention. " specifically "[each] of independent claims 17, 18, 24-26, 28, 50, 52, 65, and 66 [which recite] a 'point management system employing a computer' and comprising 'point accumulation means for calculating and accumulating the issued points'."; Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 17 supra and in particular to Schultz (the ABSTRACT; FIG. 1; FIG. 2; col. 1, ll. 6-11; col. 1, ll. 15-16; col. 6, ll. 5-10; col. 5, ll. 12-15; col. 5, ll. 47-53; col. 5, ll. 57-68; col. 6, ll. 1-4; and col. 6, ll. 10-12) and Schultz (col. 10, ll. 7-15; col. 10, ll. 20-27; col. 10, ll. 36-39; col. 10, ll. 46-50; col. 9, ll. 25-33; col. 8, ll. 48-65; col. 7, ll. 35-44; col. 8, ll. 1-6; and col. 5, ll. 45-53).

Art Unit: 2162

In response to Applicant's remarks alleging that "[none] of the foregoing references relied upon, either alone or in combination, discloses or suggests the foregoing features of the present invention..." specifically "[each] of independent claims 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 28, 50, and 52 [which recite] "the customer is notified... through the terminal...."; Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claim 17 supra and in particular to:

Schultz lacks an explicit recital of: "reads data out of the storage means according to a type selected by the customer through a terminal, and notifies the customer of the read data through the terminal."

Small (FIG. 7 through FIG. 10; col. 1, ll. 13-26; col. 1, ll. 33-42; col. 6, ll. 48-55; col. 9, ll. 38-44; col. 9, ll. 53-56; col. 10, ll. 4-15; col. 11, ll. 3-9; col. 11, ll. 16-21; col. 11, ll. 62-63; and col. 12, ll. 33-40) shows elements that suggest: "reads data out of the storage means according to a type selected by the customer through a terminal, and notifies the customer of the read data through the terminal."

Small proposes "terminal notification" modifications that would have applied to the award system and method of Schultz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "terminal"

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

notification" modifications of <u>Small</u> with the teachings of <u>Schultz</u> because such modifications would have provided a means to "Identify a user of a remote interface device and assign a user-specific indicia thereto for matching to a randomly selected game indicia to determine whether the user wins a prize.

..." (See <u>Small</u> (col. 2, ll. 30-40)).

THIS ACTION IS FINAL, NECESSITATED BY AMENDMENT

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than some SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

CONCLUSION

Any response to this action should be mailed to: 12.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Any response to this action may be sent via facsimile to either:

(703) 746-7239 or (703) 872-9314 (for formal communications EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or

(703) 746-7239 (for formal communications marked AFTER-FINAL) or

(703) 746-7240 (for informal communications marked PROPOSED or DRAFT).

Hand delivered responses may be brought to:

Sixth floor Receptionist Crystal Park II 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L. Young who may be reached via telephone at (703) 305-3801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, may be reached at (703) 305-8469.

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington, Virginia.

(Tomita et al.)

Art Unit: 2162

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

John L. Young

Patent Examiner

ERIC W. STAMBER PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 27, 2001